

jboard@ssmu.ca  
3600 McTavish St.,



## Reasons

## Facts

[1] The following statement

---

orders and regular decisions of the Board are decided on the basis of entirely different criteria, decisions to grant or refuse interim orders cannot be taken as indicative of how the Board is likely to rule with respect to the larger issue in any given case.

[4] The Judicial Board is of the opinion that the Respondent's request for an Interim Order fails to satisfy the test laid out in section 10.7 of the [Judicial Board Procedures](#), but in particular subsection 10.7(b) that asks "[w]hether the Party requesting an Interim Order will suffer irreparable harm if the Interim Order is not granted". The Board holds that, in the case at hand, granting the Interim Order sought by the Respondent would merely maintain the current status quo. That is, the SSMU is already currently being governed by the 2020 Constitution and an Interim Order declaring it remain in effect for an extended period of time would have no concrete consequence. Thus, the Board is of the opinion that the Interim Order requested by the Respondent is unnecessary and fails to meet the high standards and exceptional circumstances required of Interim Orders.

[5] Additionally, an Interim Order can only be in effect until the Board's final judgment. Thus, the request for maintaining the status quo until the 2020 Constitution is translated in French will be dealt with in the final judgment.

[6] The request for interim measures is therefore denied.